

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/047,581 10/23/2001		John H. Stevens	HRT-287	4692			
27777	7590 03/19/2004 EXAMINER						
PHILIP S. J JOHNSON &		•	ISABELLA, DAVID J				
		INSON PLAZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
NEW BRUN	SWICK, 1	NJ 08933-7003	3738	1 4			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				•	A				
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/047,581		STEVENS, JOHN H.	/				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	/				
		DAVID J ISAI	BELLA	3738					
Dariad &	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence address	S				
Period fo	• •	V 19 9ET TO 1	YDIDE 2 MONTH(S) EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-	final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quay	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9-37</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>32-37</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>15-31</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	irement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P1O-1	52.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachme	nt(s)			•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
3) 🛛 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/03.	,	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152	·)				

- Application/Control Number: 10/047,581

Art Unit: 3738

Status of the Claims

Page 2

Claims 7,8 have been cancelled and replaced with newly added claims 15-37.

Claims 1-6,9-14 remain withdrawn from consideration as being directed to non-elected invention/species.

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 32-37 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the original scope of the elected invention is directed to a valve and the newly added claims 32-37 are directed to a valve device which includes the combination of a catheter and guidewire. Note, the scope of the valve of claims 32-37 is not commensurate with the scope of the valve of claims 15-31.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 32-37 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/047,581 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15-20,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peale (15192).

Peale discloses a valve for implantation comprising a flexible sleeve and at least one cups configured to permit blood flow through in a single direction. The limitation of "the valve being capable of insertion to the desired location via a catheter" fails to particularly set forth features that enable the valve capable of being inserted by a catheter. Based on the structure set forth, it appears that the obvious parameters that allow for the valve to be inserted via catheter may be directed to flexibility and size. According to Peale, the check valve is constructed to imitate the valves of the arterial and other portions of the human orgalization. The valve and the sleeve are intended to be flexible. Clearly, in so far as meeting the positive recitation of the claimed features, Peale discloses and meets these limitations. There is no structural element of the valve of Peale that would preclude its being inserted via a catheter.

Claim 30, see lines 70+ of Peale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20-29, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peale as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Sakura, Jr (4214587)

Sakura, Jr teaches a anastomosis device comprising a cylindrical radially resilient spring formed with outwardly extending fasting elements for attaching a vessel to a natural conduit. The device of Peale may be inserted into the natural conduit but must rely on strict adherence to sizing diameters to match the diameter of the natural conduit. Sakura, Jr allows for direct attachment of the valve of Peale to the natural conduit without strict adherence to matching diameters thus alleviating the need for frictional fit. Moreover the spring of Sakura, Jr allows the attaching vessel to pulsate in a fashion similar to that of the natural conduit. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to attach the vessel of Peale to the natural conduit via a compressible spring member as shown by Sakura, Jr. With respect to claim 29, clearly the spring is capable of being balloon expandable as broadly claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peale as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Navia et al (5156621).

Peale check valve was patented back in 1856 well before the use of natural tissues in valve replacement. Navia, et al teaches that it was known in 1988 to use natural tissue in forming a valve for replacing an existing valve. To replace the synthetic material of Peale with natural tissues to ensure better invivo characteristics and tribiologic response would have been obvious from the teachings of Navia, et al.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/047,581

Art Unit: 3738

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID JISABELL Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738 Page 6

DJI MARCH 9, 2004